



(4) 家長反對                       (5) 對學校行政產生不便                       (6) 其他：\_\_\_\_\_


9. 貴校有否計劃在可見之將來，改用由環保署核實的「採用可再用容器的餐飲公司」中的「環保供應商」呢？

(1) 有                                       (2) 沒有，原因是：\_\_\_\_\_

[全卷完，謝謝！]


### <調查結果 Survey Result>

1. 在接受訪問的 **287** 間小學中，有 **175** 間小學為學生供應午膳，有 **112** 間小學沒有為學生提供午膳。  
There are **287** Primary Schools being interviewed successfully. There are **175** Primary Schools provide lunch for students and **112** Primary Schools do not.




2. 在為學生安排午膳的 175 間學校中，有 **39%** 的學校使用可重用的飯盒，有 **56%** 的學校並未使用，尚有 **5%** 的學校表示不清楚。

In those 175 schools that provide lunch for the students, **39%** of them use the reusable lunch box, **56%** of them are not using and the other 5% is unknown.




3a. 在表示使用可重用飯盒的 69 間學校中，有 **51%**的學校指出學生用膳後的餐盒**由供應商負責清洗**，有 **32%**學校的餐盒由**學生自行清洗**，有 13%學校的餐盒用完即棄，有 3%由校方清洗，其餘的表示不知道。

In those 69 schools that are using reusable lunch boxes, there are **51%** of schools said that the boxes are washed by **lunch supplier**, **31%** of schools said that the boxes are washed by **students**, 13% of them are not reusable, 3% of them are washed by school staff and 1% is unknown.




3b. 表示沒有使用可重用餐盒的 98 間學校中，有 **67%**的餐盒是由可分解或可循環再造的物料製造，有 16%不是由該物料製造而成，也有 17%的學校表示不知道製造餐盒的物料是甚麼。

In those 98 schools that are noting using reusable lunch boxes, **67%** of them claiming the boxes are made by environmental friendly material, 16.5% of them are not, and the other 16.5% said they do not know.




4. 在為學生安排午膳的 175 間學校中，有 **40%**學校表示餐具會由**學生自備**，**35%**學校表示由**供應商準備**，**23%**學校使用即用即棄的餐具，分別有 **1%**的餐具由學校供應及 **1%**的學校表示不知道。

In those 175 schools that provide lunch for the students, **40%** of schools said that their **students prepare their own tablewares**, **35%** of schools said the tablewares are prepared by the lunch supplier, **23%** of them use non-reusable tableware, **1%** of schools prepare it for the students and **1%** of school said they do not know.




5. 受訪的學校中，有 **84%**表示有鼓勵學生自備可再用餐具，有 **14%**表示沒有鼓勵，而 **2%**表示不知道。

There are **84%** of school said they **have encouraged students to bring their own tableware**, **14%** of them never, and **2%** of them said unknown.




6. 受訪的學校中，有 **66%**學校表示用膳後的餐具由**學生清洗重用**，有 **12%**學校的餐具由**供應商負責清洗**，有 **18%**學校的餐具**用完即棄**，有 **2%**由校方清洗，另外 **2%**表示不知道。

There are **66%** school said that the **tableware are washed by their students**, 12% said that the tableware are washed by lunch supplier, 17% of them are not reusable, 2% of them are washed by school staff and 2% of them said they do not know.




7. 在 140 間表示使用可清洗重用餐具的學校中，有 **62%**的受訪學校表示，該校自為學生安排午膳的第一天開始，便使用可循環再用的餐盒和餐具，其餘的 **38%**學校表示是中途採用。

In those 140 schools using reusable tableware, **62%** of them adopt the environmental friendly lunch arrangement since the first day of their whole-day schooling, while 38% of them do not.




8. 有 **39%**的受訪學校認為，那些「採用可再用容器的餐飲公司」**不合衛生**，有 **30%**認為會對學校行政產生不便，有 **9%**認為食物質素較差，有 **4%**表示家長反對，有 **7%**認為價錢較貴，**11%**為其他原因。

There are 39% of schools do not choose the environmental friendly lunch suppliers because of the hygiene problem, 30% of them think that it will complicate the school administration work, 9% of them think that the food quality is poorer, 4% of them rejected by parents, 7% of them claim it is more expensive while 11% of them concerning other reasons.




9. 受訪的學校中，**56%**表示**沒有計劃**在將來改用「環保供應商」，其餘的 **44%**學校表示有。

There are **56%** of schools **DO NOT planning to use** "green supplier" in the future, while 44% of them will have a plan.



9. 表示沒有計劃在將來改用「環保供應商」的 54 間學校表示，**59%**擔心保暖效果及食物質素，**41%**學校表示滿意現時供應商表現因而拒絕轉投「環保供應商」。

In those 54 schools have no planning of using environmental friendly lunch supplier, **59%** of them **concern the quality and warm keeping ability** of the lunch box, while 41% of them refused to change as they satisfied with existing supplier.



-完-

-The End-

編寫：綠色學生聯會

Sources: Green Student Council